MB Docket No. 05-311

Before the

FEDERAL COMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20554

In the Matter of		
Implementation of Section 621(a)(1) of		
the Cable Communications Policy Act of 19	84)	MB Docket No. 05
311		
as amended by the Cable Television Consu	mer)	
Protection and Competition Act of 1992)		

COMMENTS OF Princeton Borough & Township, State of New Jersey

These Comments are filed by Princeton Borough & Township, State of New Jersey, in support of the comments filed by the National Association of Telecommunications Officers and Advisors ("NATOA"). Like NATOA, Princeton Borough & Township believes that local governments can issue an appropriate local franchise for new entrants into the video services field on a timely basis, just as they have for established cable services providers. In support of this belief, we wish to inform the Commission about the facts of video franchising in our community.

Cable Franchising in Our Community

Community Information

Princeton Borough & Township is a municipality with a combined population of 30,865 (according to the 2000 census). Our franchised cable provider is Patriot Media. Our community has negotiated cable franchises since 1981.

Competitive Cable Systems

Our community

- has never been approached by a competitive provider to provide service;
- would welcome competitive providers, if any were to approach us;
- has never denied any provider the opportunity to serve in our community;
- does have mechanisms in place to offer the same or a comparable franchise to a competitor upon request.

Conclusions

The local cable franchising process functions well in Princeton Borough & Township. As the above information indicates, we are experienced at working with cable providers to both see that the needs of the local community are met and to ensure that the practical business needs of cable providers are taken into account.

Local cable franchising ensures that local cable operators are allowed access to the rights of way in a fair and evenhanded manner, that other users of the rights of way are not unduly inconvenienced, and that uses of the rights of way, including maintenance and upgrade of facilities, are undertaken in a manner which is in accordance with local requirements. Local cable franchising also ensures that our local community's specific needs are met and that local customers are protected.

Local franchises thus provide a means for local government to appropriately oversee the operations of cable service providers in the public interest, and to ensure compliance with applicable laws. There is no need to create a new Federal bureaucracy in Washington to handle matters of specifically local interest.

Finally, local franchises allow each community, including ours, to have a voice in how local cable systems will be implemented and what features (such as PEG access, institutional networks or local emergency alerts, etc.) will be available to meet local needs. These factors are equally present for new entrants as for existing users.

Princeton Borough & Township therefore respectfully requests that the Commission do nothing to interfere with local government authority over franchising or to otherwise impair the operation of the local franchising process as set forth under existing Federal law with regard to either existing cable service providers or new entrants.

Respectfully submitted,

Princeton Borough & Township

By: Charles L. Creesy, Chair, Joint Princeton Cable TV Committee, 64 Dorann Avenue Princeton, NJ 08540 cc: NJ League of Municipalities, MDarcy@njslom.com NATOA, info@natoa.org John Norton, <u>John.Norton@fcc.gov</u> Andrew Long, <u>Andrew.Long@fcc.gov</u>